| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--------------------|--------------|
| | 10/051,433 | WHITE ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Brian E Pellegrino | 3738 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) Brian E Pellegrino. | (3) | |
| (2) Raymond SUn. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 6 December 2004 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Nejection(s) discussed. | • | |
| Claims discussed: 68-81 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: We discussed clarifying the interpretation of a longitudinal row of the stent cells and Mr. Sun gave authorization for the Examiner's amendment. | | |
| Part III. | • | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1 | | |
| Brians Pellegrino | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |